

MAR 0 7 2022

CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy Clerk

## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

BENITO SEGURA, on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALTIA TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, THOMAS GASPARINI, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. CGC-20-586926

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS/PAGA SETTLEMENT

Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Settlement came on for hearing on February 28, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. Having read and considered the moving papers, which were filed on November 5, 2021 and November 8, 2021, and the supplemental papers, which were filed on January 6, 2022, February 10, 2022, February 25, 2022 and March 4, 2022, the Court finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Settlement Agreement preliminarily appears to be within the range of possible final approval, such that notice should be provided to the Class.
  - 2. The Court preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement.<sup>2</sup>
- 3. The Court grants conditional certification for settlement purposes only of the following Class for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382:

All non-exempt delivery drivers who have worked for Defendants Altia Transportation Corporation and/or Thomas Gasparini within the State of California at any time from September 16, 2016 through March 7, 2022.

- 4. For settlement purposes only, the proposed Class meets the requirements for certification under Code of Civil Procedure section 382. Specifically, for settlement purposes: (1) the proposed Class is numerous and ascertainable, (2) there are predominant common questions of law or fact, (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the proposed Class; and (4) a class action is superior to other methods to efficiently adjudicate this controversy.
- 5. For settlement purposes only, the Court appoints Marco A. Palau, Joseph D. Sutton, and Eric S. Trabucco of Advocates for Worker Rights LLP as Class Counsel for the Class. The Court preliminarily finds that Class Counsel will represent the Class fairly and adequately in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382.
- 6. For settlement purposes only, the Court appoints Plaintiff Benito Segura as the Class Representative. The Court preliminarily finds that the Class Representative will adequately represent the Class in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 382.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The operative Settlement Agreement is attached as Exhibit 1 to the March 4, 2022 Third Supplemental Declaration of Joseph Sutton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Plaintiff shall serve a copy of the operative Settlement Agreement on the LWDA within one week of entry of this order.

- 7. The Court appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Settlement Administrator and directs the Settlement Administrator to perform all tasks related to administration and distribution of this Settlement. The proposed Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, provided a bid not to exceed \$5,950 to administer this Settlement. Should the administration cost be less than \$5,950, the difference will be distributed to the Class.
- 8. The Court approves, as to form and content, the revised Class Notice packet, attached to the Settlement Agreement, found at as Exhibit 1 to the March 4, 2022 Third Supplemental Declaration of Joseph Sutton. The Parties may make any necessary changes to these documents provided those changes are consistent with this Order.
- 9. The procedure for providing notice to the Class set forth in the Settlement Agreement at paragraph III(F)(2) meets the requirements of due process and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances.
- 10. The provision of a settlement website as described in the Class Notice packet is approved. The settlement website will include the following documents in pdf format: the First Amended Complaint, the Settlement Agreement, all papers filed in connection with preliminary approval, the Class Notice packet, and, when available, all documents filed in connection with the final approval hearing.
- 11. The procedures set forth in paragraphs III(F)(3) of the Settlement Agreement for Class members to object to, or request exclusion from, the Settlement are approved.
- 12. A Final Approval Hearing shall be held on June 22, 2022 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 304 of this Court, to determine whether the Settlement is fair, adequate, reasonable, and should be approved; whether Class Counsel's application for fees and costs should be approved; whether Plaintiff's application for a service award should be approved; whether the cy pres designee should be approved; and any other matters that the Court deems appropriate. The Court may adjourn or continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class
- 13. The Court sets the following dates (to the extent any discrepancies between these items and the Settlement exist, the terms of this Order shall control):

l		
1	Event	Deadline
2	Defendants shall provide the Settlement	Within 7 Business Days of Entry of this Order
3	Administrator with Class Member Data,	
4	preferably in electronic form, containing, for	
5	each Class Member, the Class Member's name,	
6	employee identification number, last known	
7	address, email address if available, and Social	
8	Security number, the end date for each Class	
9	Member's employment, and the number of	
10	workweeks worked by the Class Member for	
11	Defendants	
12	Settlement Administrator will email (to the	Within 7 Business Days of Receipt of Database
13	extent that email addresses are available) and	
14	mail the Class Notice Packets to all identified	
15	Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail	
16	using the mailing address information provided	
17	by Defendants, unless modified by any updated	
18	address information that the Settlement	
19	Administrator obtains in the course of	
20	administration of the Settlement	
21	Settlement Administrator will cause Settlement	The Same Date that the first Notice is Mailed
22	Website to go live	
23	Settlement Administrator will search for a	Within 7 Business Days of Receipt of a Class
24	more current address for the Class Member	Notice Packet Returned Undeliverable Because
25	using Accurint and other reasonable and cost-	of an Incorrect Address
26	effective skip trace methods, and re-mail the	
27	Class Notice Packet to the Class Member along	
28	with a Notice of Re-mailing	
	Order Granting Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class	4 Settlement Case No. CGC-20-586926
	1	

Submission of Requests for Exclusion	60 Calendar Days After Notice is Sent
Submission of Objections	60 Calendar Days After Notice is Sent
Submission of Workweek Disputes	60 Calendar Days After Notice is Sent
Filing of Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs,	30 Calendar Days After Notice is Sent
and Service Award	
Filing of Final Approval Motion	16 Court Days Prior to the Final Approval
	Hearing
Final Approval Hearing	June 22, 2022 at 9:00 a.m.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 2, 2022

Ethan P. Schulman
Judge of the Superior Court

## CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

(CCP 1010.6(6) & CRC 2.251)

I, Ericka Larnauti, a Deputy Clerk of the Superior Court of the County of San Francisco, certify that I am not a party to the within action.

On March 7, 2022, I electronically served the attached document via File & ServeXpress on the recipients designated on the Transaction Receipt located on the File & ServeXpress website.

Dated: March 7, 2022

T. Michael Yuen, Clerk

By:

Ericka Larnauti, Deputy Clerk